

Sprint 4 retrospective

What did we like?

- Mentoring gave us good feedback about team management
- The team finally has a working machine, the luck was on our side
- We are looking forward to results from TATRA bank
- I like that I can check the results from neural networks online and from anywhere
- More people started to work continuously

Karol / Vlado

- I like that the team can use the machine for training
- SCRUM master started to SCRUM mastering

What we did not like?

- I did not like that the time was reported at the end of sprint and not continuously
- I did not like the pair programming and team organization

Karol / Vlado

- The order of task completion is weird
- The team members are usually late and **is getting much worse**
- Code looks better than before, but it is far from coding standards
- Very weird texts in git history and in the production code
- The team does not work in balance
 - Tests are not made
 - Documentation is not done
 - Code review was not completed
 - Therefore the US should not be completed, but is pro forma
- The team was not on time in mentoring

What should we improve?

- We should let someone extern test our software and let him/her provide feedback
- Maybe we shouldn't all work at the same time and should work separately in smaller teams
- We should research the created user-stories/tasks in the previous sprint, so we can better evaluate complexity of a user story/task and plan for the next sprint

Karol / Vlado

- The team should start to write tests, those tests are should be executed automatically etc.
- Add more independence into work, and commitment to project
 - Besides the 4 hours in monday, the team does not work